

1st Dist. DaimlerChrysler Corporation, Petitioner
A093997 v.
Div. 1 San Francisco County Superior Court, Respondent
S095659 Lester Bates et al., Real Parties in Interest

Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.

- 2nd Dist. People, Respondent
B140811 v.
Div. 2 Ricardo Arellano, Appellant
S094288 The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including April 26, 2001, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.
- S024833 People, Respondent
v.
Richard Wade Farley, Appellant
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including April 30, 2001.
No further extensions of time are contemplated.
- S028747 People, Respondent
v.
Rodney Jesse San Nicolas, Appellant
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including May 14, 2001.
No further extensions of time are contemplated.
- S046733 People, Respondent
v.
Gregory Spiros Demetrulias, Appellant
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including May 8, 2001.
- S056997 People, Respondent
v.
Michael McCrea Whisenhunt, Appellant
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including May 7, 2001, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.
No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S081000 In re Dennis Mayfield
 on
 Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including May 14, 2001.

S089026 In re Ravun Muong
 on
 Habeas Corpus

The application of petitioner for an extension of time is hereby granted and it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to the Attorney General's informal response is extended to and including April 9, 2001.

S089957 People, Respondent
 v.
 Ryan P. Toledo, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including April 6, 2001.

S090136 Geneva Towers Limited Partnership, California Limited Partnership,
 Plaintiff and Appellant
 v.

City and County of San Francisco, Defendant and Respondent

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file reply brief on the merits is extended to and including March 20, 2001.

S092697 John Hess, Plaintiff and Appellant
 v.

Ford Motor Company, Defendant and Appellant

On application of plaintiff and appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including May 14, 2001.

No further extensions will be granted.

S018665 People, Respondent

v.

Milton Otis Lewis, Appellant

The request of appellant for 45 minutes for oral argument is granted.

4th Dist.
Div. 3

Transfer Orders

The following cases, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, are transferred from Division Three to Division Two:

G023854	Gloria A. Calderon v. Furon Company
G022579	Kian Bee Tan v. Paul Robert Carr
G022002	Kian Bee Tan v. Phillip K. Fife
G022617	Louis Gerzsenyi et al. v. Bank of America et al.
G023767	Michael Caristi et al. v. Joe Diniellis et al.
G024544	Michael Caristi et al. v. Ivan Tarvares
G024224	Inline, Inc. et al. v. Apace Moving Systems et al.
G024642	Rajesh J. Kadakia v. Jack Reihing et al.
G023985	Asia Entertainment v. Quynh Nhu Le

Bar
Misc.
4186

In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO TWO ORIGINAL ORDERS FOR MOTIONS #s 427 and 429.)